

TASK #12 – Jacksonian Democracy

Standard:

P.1 (SWBAT analyze primary source documents)

P.4 (SWBAT Support and defend analysis or a position with evidence)

C.U.2.8 (SWBAT Analyze the successes and limitations of Jacksonian Democracy)

Description: You will now practice writing the DBQ essay for the second time this semester, building off of your previous knowledge and understandings. For this DBQ you will use your knowledge of Jackson's presidency to construct an essay that utilizes the A.P. document based question on Jacksonian Democracy. As a class we will dissect the prompt and analyze the documents. You will then prepare on your own to write the DBQ in class by creating an outline, which you may use in class. After writing the essay in class, you and a partner will peer-evaluate each other's essay using the rubric provided. Afterwards you will have an opportunity to re-write your essay on your own and submit for evaluation using the same rubric.

Prompt: *Jacksonian Democrats viewed themselves as the guardians of the United States Constitution, political democracy, individual liberty, and equality of economic opportunity. In light of the following documents and your knowledge of the 1820's and 1830's, to what extent do you agree with the Jacksonians' view of themselves?*

Steps:

- 1) Analysis of prompt and documents with partner (Day 1)
- 2) Prepare to write essay, create outline (on your own)
- 3) Write DBQ (Day 2)
- 4) Peer-Evaluation of DBQ (Day 3)
- 5) Re-Write DBQ and submit for evaluation (on your own)

Criteria:

- ☒ Address all areas of prompt
- ☒ Sophisticated introduction with sophisticated and complex thesis statement
- ☒ Proper use and citation of documents
- ☒ Thorough use of outside information (OSI) and specific factual information (SFI)
- ☒ Analysis of key issues
- ☒ Well organized
- ☒ Excellent mechanics

Rubric: See following page.

DBQ ESSAY RUBRIC GRADE SHEET

AP ESSAY RAW SCORE: (0-9)	AP GRADE: (1-5)	CLASS GRADE: (%)
----------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------

<u>Superior DBQ “5”: AP Score of 8-9 (90-100%)</u> ☐ Sophisticated thesis ☐ Excellent use of documents (at least 90% of docs cited) ☐ Excellent use of outside information ☐ Excellent analysis of key issues ☐ Excellent use of concrete facts (SFI) ☐ Extremely well-organized essay ☐ Addresses <u>all</u> areas of the prompt ☐ Extremely well-written essay	<u>Strong DBQ “4”: AP Score of 6-7 (79-89%)</u> ☐ Strong thesis (contains general analysis) ☐ Good use of documents, may lack balance in support of arguments ☐ Good use of outside info. (needs more) ☐ Good analysis of key issues (needs more) ☐ Some use of concrete facts (SFI) ☐ Well-organized essay ☐ Addresses <u>all</u> areas of the prompt; may lack some balance between major areas ☐ Well-written essay
<u>Adequate DBQ “3”: AP Score of 5 (68-78%)</u> ☐ Clear thesis – needs general analysis ☐ Adequate use of documents ☐ Fairly well-organized essay ☐ Addresses all areas of the prompt but essay may lack balance. ☐ Includes some outside information (but clearly needs more) ☐ Needs more analysis of key issues ☐ Contains some evidence; more needed ☐ May contain some historical errors ☐ Contains facts irrelevant to the time period	<u>DBQ Needs Work “2”: AP Score of 2-4 (57-67%)</u> ☐ Undeveloped thesis (simple thesis) ☐ Thesis does not fully address question ☐ Poor use of documents ☐ Documents <i>control</i> the essay ☐ Weak organization ☐ Lacks outside information ☐ Essay does not address one or more aspects of the question: <hr style="width: 80%; margin-left: 0;"/> ☐ Lacks analysis of key issues ☐ Lacks evidence to support main ideas. ☐ Contains major historical errors ☐ Much irrelevant information to time period
<u>DBQ Needs a lot of work “1”: AP Score of 1 (55-66%)</u> ☐ No documents used ☐ No thesis ☐ Facts not specific, accurate & relevant. ☐ Poor or no analysis of key issues	<u>“0” DBQ: Did not do the question (0%)</u>

General Comments:

Thesis/intro: complex-split __; complex-simple __; split-simple __; simple __; too much info __
 __ Contains vague statements or generalizations not supported by facts. __ Use of colloquial language
 __ Cite all documents __ Use more documents __ Document quotes are too long
 __ Document(s) misused: # __ __ Laundry list __ Don't explain documents
 __ Strong conclusion __ Weak conclusion __ No conclusion
 __ Strong topic sentences __ Weak topic sentences __ Strong linking sentences
 __ Don't use "I," "you," "our," "us," "we" __ Don't connect issues to "today" (unless asked)
 __ Poor spelling and grammar __ Poor penmanship: essay difficult to read