

Self-Evaluation of Medieval Fest

Standards

Students will be able to...

12. Collaborate with peers to accurately represent and share information from research. P
13. Utilize community resources to plan and execute a research presentation. P
14. Assess the success of a research presentation and process. P

Task

Throughout your trials and tribulations with Medieval Fest, I have asked you to consider what would entail a successful presentation. There are aspects of individual performance, community contacts, and attendee satisfaction that now you should be able to evaluate, and I will ask you to elaborate on your evaluation in writing. Please separate your responses into 3 paragraphs, and make sure each paragraph answers the following questions! To earn full credit for your evaluation, aim for 3 specific examples per paragraph.

- First paragraph—Individual Performance
What specifically did you contribute on the day of Medieval Fest? How were you involved with others in preparation for the Fest? How did your individual research play a role in what was prepared? What might you have done differently?
- Second paragraph—Community Contacts
What is your opinion now of the resources you looked into? What is your opinion now of the resources you used? How did you make use of their advice/products? What might you have done differently?
- Third paragraph—Attendee Satisfaction(After viewing the results of the survey you created) What concept or idea garnered the most positive feedback? Why? What concept or idea was a “miss”? Why?

Rubric

	Superior—3	Adequate—2	Inadequate—1
Individual Performance	At least 3 specific references; all questions answered meaningfully	1-2 specific references; 1 or 2 questions not answered completely/meaningfully	No specific references used; more than 2 questions not answered completely/meaningfully
Community Contacts	At least 3 specific references; all questions answered meaningfully	1-2 specific references; 1 or 2 questions not answered completely/meaningfully	No specific references used; more than 2 questions not answered completely/meaningfully
Attendee Satisfaction	At least 3 specific references; all questions answered meaningfully	1-2 specific references; 1 or 2 questions not answered completely/meaningfully	No specific references used; more than 2 questions not answered completely/meaningfully
Coherence and unity	Flows wells	Some choppiness	Few attempts to transition

Total

/12