

PROCESS TASK TWO

Justification of Rank

Standards

1. Identify the key parts of an introduction (e.g., hook, background, bridge, main claim) and explain their function and importance within the introduction structure.
2. Recognize an author's main claim and analyze the evidence an author uses to support their position on a topic.

Task

For homework, you will be individually journaling about why your group chose the order that you did for your group of sample paragraphs (like a main claim, ex. we chose this order based on...). You can use your organizer to help locate evidence and analyze why and how your group chose your rank order (supporting your main claim). This evidence should be cited in your justification.

Criteria

- Journal Explanation
 - While a formal introduction is not needed, a brief description of the task and clear statement of your main claim (reasons for ranking) should be stated
 - Each sample paragraph should have a description and analysis of its strengths and weaknesses, helping support how and why your group ranked each paragraph
 - The conclusion should sum up your ranking and what you feel separates the "best" paragraph from the one that "needs the most work"

Rubric

CRITERIA	Poor (0-1)	Adequate (2-3)	Excellent (4-5)
Introduction	There is no task description and/or main claim is not stated	The task description and/or main claim are not clear	The task description and main claim are clear
Ranking Justification (x3)	Few of the sample paragraphs' strengths and weakness are discussed and/or support justification for ranking	Most of the sample paragraphs' strengths and weakness are discussed and/or support justification for ranking	All of the sample paragraphs' strengths and weakness are discussed and/or support justification for ranking
Conclusion	Conclusion doesn't sum up ranking or what separates the levels of sample paragraphs	Conclusion sums up ranking but not what separates the levels of sample paragraphs	Conclusion sums up ranking and what separates the levels of sample paragraphs
Conventions and Construction	Messy, illegible and a few mechanics errors and/or is confusing and lacks organization	Neat and legible and/or mostly free of mechanics errors and/or lacks organization	Neat and legible, free of mechanics errors and organized

Total Points _____